



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/726,983

11/29/2000

Karl L. Bizjak

072548-0293352

5172

27498

7590

02/25/2009

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
P.O. BOX 10500  
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

TRAN, CON P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/25/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/726,983		BIZJAK, KARL L.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	CON P. TRAN		2614	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Con P. Tran. (3) Anthony Smyth (Applicant's Attorney).

(2) Vivian Chin (SPE). (4) Karl Bizjak (Applicant).

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kitani et al. (5,633,939), Brower (6,324,229), Southard (4,399,535).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about differences between the claimed invention and the prior art of record (e.g., input signal, input detector, synchronizer, predetermined condition). No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/CPT/	/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614
-------	---